

# Prevent

## Norfolk Practitioners' Quick Guide: safeguarding individuals Vulnerable to Radicalisation (VTR) and referral process

*This document provides practitioners with guidance re concerns in relation to any child, young person or adult who may be at risk of being adversely influenced or radicalised by any extremist group or ideology.*

The purpose of the PREVENT Strategy is to stop people becoming terrorists or supporting violent extremism in all its forms.

The strategy has three objectives, one of which is to prevent people from being drawn into extremism and ensure they are given appropriate advice and support.

## Contents

1. Identification
2. Notice – Check – Share
3. The Referral Process
4. Channel Panel
5. Sharing Information
6. Contact Information

### 1. Identification

Here are examples of indicators that *may* suggest vulnerability to violent extremism:

- **Expressed opinions** – such as support for violence and terrorism or the values of extremist organisations, airing of political or religious based grievances, unaccepting of other nationalities, religions or cultures.
- **Material** – possession of extremist literature; attempts to access extremist websites and associated password protected chat rooms; possession of material regarding weapons, explosives or military training.

- **Behaviour and behavioural changes** – such as withdrawal from family and peers; hostility towards former associates and family; association with proscribed<sup>1</sup> organisations and those that hold extremist views.
- **Personal history** – Claims or evidence of involvement in organisations voicing violent extremist ideology and identifying with their cause.

For a more comprehensive list of indicators please follow this link:

- <https://www.ltai.info/spotting-the-signs/>

## 2. Notice – Check – Share

### *Notice*

A practitioner from any agency working with the child, young person or adult could be the person to notice that there has been a change in the individual's behaviour that may suggest they are vulnerable to radicalisation.

### *Check*

The next step is for the practitioner to speak to colleagues and/or partners to better understand the concerns raised by the behaviours observed to decide whether intervention and support is needed. In many cases there will be an explanation for the behaviours that either requires no further action or a referral not related to radicalisation or extremism.

### *Share*

Where the practitioner still has concerns that the individual may be vulnerable to radicalisation, follow safeguarding procedures and contact Children's Advice and Duty Service or Adult Social Services. Following this the Prevent referral form is to be completed and sent to [preventreferrals@norfolk.pnn.police.uk](mailto:preventreferrals@norfolk.pnn.police.uk). An initial assessment of the referral will be carried out prior to any further information gathering on the individual.

## 3. The Referral Process

A referral will not continue through the VTR process if:

- it is malicious or misguided;
- the person's engagement with the process would compromise or interfere with ongoing investigations into illegal activity;
- it is clear the person is not vulnerable to violent extremism.

---

<sup>1</sup> under the Terrorism Act 2000 the Home Secretary has the power to proscribe – forbid by law – an organisation believed to be concerned in terrorism.

The below link gives details of each organisation proscribed by the UK government:

[https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment\\_data/file/400902/Proscription-20150123.pdf](https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/400902/Proscription-20150123.pdf)

Once deconfliction checks have been completed, the referral form will then be emailed to the MASH and multi-agency checks will then be completed.

**For urgent radicalisation concerns contact Norfolk police on 101 or, in an emergency, 999.**

### ***The Counter Terrorism (CT) Case Officer***

On receipt of a referral the CT Case Officer will conduct a review of the information received. This review will be based on a professional judgement using a vulnerability assessment framework to ensure the referral meets the necessary threshold.

In applying the threshold test the individual circumstances associated with the referral must be taken into account and there must be a vulnerability to radicalisation.

If this is not present the case should not be managed under Channel and should immediately exit the process. Where it is apparent that the person referred has vulnerabilities not linked to radicalisation and has needs that require support through other mechanisms they should be referred to the appropriate service providers and other safeguarding measures should be considered.

If the criteria are met the CT Case Officer makes an assessment of the risk factors. Risk assessment is a continuous process from the point of referral to the point an individual exits the process.

### ***Information Gathering***

The CT Case Officer will liaise with the referrer and partner agencies who are working with the individual. This initial information gathering ensures that only cases appropriate for the Channel process continue to the next stage for a Channel Panel meeting and the development of an appropriate support package.

## **4. Channel Panel**

Following confirmation that the case is appropriate to continue through the VTR process, the referral will proceed to a Channel Panel meeting which will include the involvement of a wider range of partners. The Channel Panel is multi-agency and provides support to those who may be vulnerable to being drawn into terrorism. Channel uses existing collaboration between partners to support individuals and protect them from being drawn into terrorism.

The Channel Panel meets every month and is chaired by a Local Authority lead supported by the CT Case Officer. The person who completed the referral may be asked to attend a Channel meeting to help Panel members understand more about the referred individual and their circumstances.

If the consensus is that support is required, partners will develop a support package based on the risk assessment, the needs of the individual and any risks posed to potential support providers. This will take the form of an action plan setting out details of the partners who will lead on the delivery of the support.

In assessing referrals, the meeting may conclude that the individual is better suited to alternative support providers, or that further assessment indicates that the individual is not being drawn into violent extremism.

One option for support through the Channel process which the Channel Panel may decide upon is the use of an 'Intervention provider'. This is Home Office funded and uses providers on an approved list to work with individuals around their individual ideologies. The work of an Intervention provider will complement the work of other partners involved in the support package. Examples of support provided could include mentoring, diversionary activities such as sport, signposting to mainstream services such as education, employment or housing.

### ***Appraisal and Review***

The Channel Panel will, on a monthly basis, review the support, risks and needs to decide whether the individual should remain on the programme. If the Panel is satisfied that the risk has been successfully reduced or managed the case exits the process.

### ***Safeguarding children and Multi-Agency Public Protection Arrangements (MAPPA)***

In some cases it may not be appropriate for an individual to continue through the Channel process because they are involved in a different statutory mechanism such as 'MAPPA' or child protection arrangements. Channel is not intended to replace those referral systems; in such cases ownership will rest with the relevant statutory support mechanism and the case may exit the Channel process or work alongside.

## **5. Sharing Information**

In choosing to share information, a key question for partners to help them provide a proportionate response is, does that partner hold any information that could make the individual more vulnerable to radicalisation.

The following principles should guide information sharing:

**Power to Share** – the sharing of data by public sector bodies requires the existence of a power to do so. This may be a statutory power relevant to the agency's statutory function, or an implied power based on the agency's common law function. The power may exist under specific legislation and may be different for each agency depending on their function. It is the responsibility of each agency to consider whether in this particular case the sharing of personal information is consistent with their powers and function as a public sector body.

**Governing legislation** – for all bodies sharing personal information (private, voluntary or public sector) it is necessary to satisfy the requirements of the Data Protection Act, the Human Rights Act and the Common Law Duty of Confidentiality.

**Consent** – Before any agency/group seeks consent from the person of concern this must be discussed at both the preliminary assessment and Channel Panel stages and a decision made on whether consent is to be sought and by whom. Obtaining consent can in itself prejudice the purpose of the Channel process and considerations must be taken before any agency seeks consent.

**Other Gateways** – in the absence of consent it is necessary to identify an exemption or a relevant condition for processing under the Data Protection Act. Where a body owes a duty of confidentiality and (in the case of public bodies) must consider the Human Rights Act, it is necessary to determine that the level of public interest overrides the expectation of privacy.

**Necessity, relevance and proportionality** – information should only be shared where it is necessary to achieve the intended outcome and is relevant and proportionate to it. Information should be selected for sharing on the basis that the agencies involved need to know that information in order to make informed assessment and decisions. Key to determining this will be the professional judgement of the risks to an individual or the public.

**Non-discriminatory** – agencies must be in a position to evidence that their decision to share information as part of a Prevent referral is not discriminatory.

## 6. Contact Information

For general questions relating to Prevent, contact:

- Norfolk Counter Terrorism Case Officer [prevent@norfolk.pnn.police.uk](mailto:prevent@norfolk.pnn.police.uk) or,
- Russell Cole NCC Prevent Coordinator [Russell.cole2@norfolk.gov.uk](mailto:Russell.cole2@norfolk.gov.uk)